1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 AT TACOMA 7 TAM TRAN, CASE NO. 3:22-cv-05828-BHS 8 Plaintiff, ORDER 9 v. 10 WASHINGTON STATE PATROL, 11 Defendant. 12 13 THIS MATTER is before the Court on Magistrate Judge David W. Christel's 14 thorough Report and Recommendation, Dkt. 6, recommending that this Court deny pro se 15 plaintiff Tam Tran's Motion for Leave to Proceed in forma pauperis, Dkt. 1, and dismiss 16 this action without prejudice for failure to state a claim. Tran has not objected or 17 otherwise responded. Tran's initial proposed complaint, Dkt. 1-1, failed to allege any facts in support of 18 19 a plausible claim, and Judge Christel ordered Tran to file an amended complaint or the 20 matter would be dismissed. Tran did so, Dkt. 4, but his proposed amended complaint 21 suffers from the same fatal flaws as his first attempt: the Washington State Patrol is not a

viable defendant for Tran's 42 U.S.C. § 1983 claim, as it is not a person. Tran has not

22

1 identified any person who personally participated in any constitutional depravation, and 2 his claims appear to be barred by *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S 477 (1994). See Dkt. 6. 3 A district judge must determine de novo any part of a magistrate judge's proposed 4 disposition to which a party has properly objected. The district judge may accept, reject, 5 or modify the recommended disposition; receive further evidence; or return the matter to 6 the magistrate judge with instructions. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). A proper objection 7 requires specific written objections to the findings and recommendations in the R&R. 8 United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc). Objections 9 to an R&R are not a vehicle to relitigate the same arguments carefully considered and rejected by the magistrate judge. See, e.g., Fix v. Hartford Life & Accident Ins. Co., CV 10 11 16-41-M-DLC-JCL, 2017 WL 2721168, at \*1 (D. Mont. June 23, 2017) (collecting 12 cases). 13 The R&R is ADOPTED, Tran's application to proceed in forma pauperis is 14 DENIED, and this matter is DISMISSED without prejudice 15 The Clerk shall enter a JUDGMENT and close the case. 16 IT IS SO ORDERED. 17 Dated this 6th day of January, 2023. 18 19 20 United States District Judge 21 22